As of June 30, 2019

Department: Office of the Ombudsman

Agency

Operating Unit

Organization Code (UACS)

Current year Appropriations
Supplemental Appropriations
Continuing Appropriations
Off-Budget Account

Pariculars	UACS PREXC		Phy	sical Tar	gets			Physical	•	Remark			
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		Variance as of	
		Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	June 30	
4		3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+	8	•	40	11	12=(8+9+1	40	14
	2	3	4	5	6	5+6)	 8	9	10	111	0+11)	13	14
Part A.				İ									
. Operations	30000000000000												
Organizational Outcome: Reduced Incidence and Impact of corruption and red tape	310000000000000							•		<u>.</u>			
ANTI-CORRUPTION INVESTIGATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicators	310100000000000												
Percentage of completed fact-finding investigations and lifestyle checks resulting in the filing of criminal and/or administrative cases N: Number of completed investigations resulting in criminal or admin case D: Number of completed investigations	310100100001000	17.88%	17.88%	17.88%	17.88%	17.88%.	23.11% 61 264	7.46% 40 536		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.63% 101 800	-5.26%	
2. Percentage of criminal and civil cases filed in court not resulting in quashal of information or outright dismissal of case N: Total number of information filed in court not resulting in quashal or outright dismissal D: Total number of information filed in court	310100100002000	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	86.00%	99.60% 1494 1500	100.00% 31 31			99.61% 1525 1531	13.61%	
Output Indicators 3. Percentage of fact-finding investigations and lifestyle checks completed N: Number of completed investigations D: Total fact-finding case workload	310100100001000	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	20.00%	5.03% 264 5,249	9.21% 536 5818			13.15% 800 6,082	-6.85%	

As of June 30, 2019

Department: Office of the Ombudsman Agency Operating Unit Organization Code (UACS)

Current year Appropriations
Supplemental Appropriations
Continuing Appropriations
Off-Budget Account

Pariculars	UACS PREXC								Physical Accomplishments						
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		Variance as of	İ		
		Quarter.	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total .	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	June 30	ļ		
	_	_	_	_		7=(3+4+			40		12=(8+9+1	40	١,		
1	2	3	4	5	6	5+6)	8	9	10	11	0+11)	13	1		
4. Percentage of criminal and forfeiture cases investigated and resolved N: Number of criminal and forfeiture cases resolved D: Total criminal and forfeiture case workload	310100100002000	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	7.95% 284 3,571	7.29% 270 3703			13.90% 554 3,987	-26.10%			
5. Percentage of criminal and forfeiture cases investigated and resolved within a one-year period N: Number of criminal and forfeiture cases resolved within one year D: TNumber of criminal and forfeiture cases resolved	310100100002000	17.00%	17.00%	17.00%	17.00%	17.00%	51.41% 146 284	54.44% 147 270			52.89% 293 554	35.89%			
Outcome Indicators 6. Percentage of criminal and civil cases tried in court not	310200000000000	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	57.02%	60.34%			58.10%	48.10%			
N: Number of criminal and civil cases tried in court not resulting in approved demurrer							69	35			104	:			
D: Number of criminal and civil cases with motion to demur							121	58			179				
7. Percentage of criminal and civil cases decided by the court resulting in conviction of at least 1 accused	310200100002000	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	73.65%	51.79%	- 		63.26%	38.26%			
N: Number of decided criminal and civil cases resulting in conviction of at least 1 accused D: Total number of decided criminal and civil cases							204 277	130 251			334 528				

As of June 30, 2019

Department: Office of the Ombudsman Agency Operating Unit

Organization Code (UACS)

	Current year Appropriations
*.	Supplemental Appropriations
	Continuing Appropriations
	Off-Budget Account

Pariculars	UACS PREXC	<u> </u>							Physical Accomplishments						
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		Variance as of			
		Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	June 30			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+ 5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+1 0+11)	13	14		
8. Percentage of decisions in appealed administrative cases affirmed by the appellate courts N: Number of appealed decisions in administrative cases affirmed by the appellate courts D: Total number of appealed administrative cases			85.10%			85.10%	89.40% 135 151	89.57% 103 115	10		89.47% 238 266	4.37%			
Output Indicators 9. Percentage of administrative cases adjudicated N: Number of administrative cases adjudicated D: Total administrative case workload	310200100001000	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	8.51% 318 3,735	7.70% 301 3910			14.64% 619 4,228	-25.36%			
Percentage of administrative cases adjudicated within a one-year period N: Number of administrative cases adjudicated within one year D: Number of administrative cases adjudicated	310200100001000	16.00%	16.00%	16.00%	16.00%	16.00%	52.83% 168 318	52.49% 158 301			52.67% 326 619	36.67%			
MBUDSMAN PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM Outcome Indicator	310300000000000				,										
11. Percentage of frontline service feedback with a rating of at least very satisfactory	310300100001000	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	97.47%	96.20%		-	96.79%	21.79%			
N: Number of accomplished feedback forms with a rating of Very Satisfactory and Excellent D: Total number of accomplished feedback forms							3,618 3,712	4103 4265			7721 7977				
Output Indicator									·						
12. Percentage of requests for assistance and grievances resolved or acted upon within the prescribed time	310300100001000	77.00%	77.00%	77.00%	77.00%	77.00%	96.78%	94.42%			95.67%	18.67%			

As of June 30, 2019

Department: Office of the Ombudsman Agency Operating Unit Organization Code (UACS)

 Current year Appropriations
 Supplemental Appropriations
Continuing Appropriations
Off-Budget Account

Pariculars	UACS PREXC		Phy	sical Tar	gets			<u> </u>	Remarks				
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	·	Variance as of	
		Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	June 30	
						7=(3+4+	-				12=(8+9+1		
<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6	5+6)	8	9	_10	11	0+11)	13	14
N: Number of requests for assistance and grievances	-												
resolved or acted upon within a 90-day period from													
receipt by the Action Officer							1,231	1067			2298		
D: Total requests and grievance case workload			:				1,272	. 1130			2402		
													<u> </u>
CORRUPTION PREVENTION PROGRAM	310400000000000												
Outcome Indicator	101040000000000												
13. Percentage of satisfied integrity promotion program							•						
beneficiaries	310400100001000	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	98.80%	98.98%			98.88%	23.88%	,
N: Number of integrity promotion program beneficiaries]		
who rated the program as Very Satisfactory or Excellent							3,624	2900			6524		
D: Total number of beneficiaries who rated the program							3,668	2930			6598		
- / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-,					<u> </u>	
Output Indicators													
14. Number of integrity assessments conducted or													
corruption diagnostics conducted	310400100002000	10	10	10	10	40	14	1			15	-5	5
Total number of agency processes or systems subjected													
to integrity assessment or corruption diagnostic studies						l l						I	1

As of June 30, 2019

Department: Office of the Ombudsman Agency Operating Unit Organization Code (UACS)

_
Current year Appropriations
Supplemental Appropriations
Continuing Appropriations
 Off-Budget Account

Pariculars	UACS PREXC	ACS PREXC Physical Targets						Physical			Remarks		
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		1st	2nd	3rd	4th		Variance as of	1
		Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	June 30	
]					7=(3+4+					12=(8+9+1]
<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6	5+6)	8	9	10	11	0+11)	13	14
 Number of integrity and anti-corruption advocates capacitated and mobilized 	310400100001000	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250	9,000	3996	3323			7319	2,819	
Total number of external stakeholders trained under the Integrity, Transparency and Accountability in Public Service (ITAPS) Program and other integrity promotion programs													

Prepared By:

RANCIS GABRIEL C. MAYORALGO

CHRISTOPHER B. LIM
Chief Administrative Officer, PBD

In coordination with:

WEOMARK BYANG, LAYSON Assistant Ombudsman, FMIO Approved by

AMUEL R. MARTIRES