	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Article 15 Bribery of national public officials										
Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally:  (a)The promise, offering or giving, to a public official, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage, for the official himself or herself or another person or entity, in order that the official act or refrain from acting in the exercise of his or her official duties;										
(b) The solicitation or acceptance by a public official, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage, for the official himself or herself or another person or entity, in order that the official act or refrain from acting in the exercise of his or her official duties.										
Article 16 Bribery of foreign public officials and officials of public international organizations										
1. Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally, the promise, offering or giving to a foreign public official or an official of a public international organization, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage, for the official himself or herself or another person or entity, in order that the official act or refrain from acting in the exercise of his or her official duties, in order to obtain or retain										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
business or other undue advantage in relation to the conduct of international business.										
2. Each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally, the solicitation or acceptance by a foreign public official or an official of a public international organization, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage, for the official himself or herself or another person or entity, in order that the official act or refrain from acting in the exercise of his or her official duties.										
Article 17 Embezzlement, misappropriation or other diversion of property by a public official										
Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally, the embezzlement, misappropriation or other diversion by a public official for his or her benefit or for the benefit of another person or entity, of any property, public or private funds or securities or any other thing of value entrusted to the public official by virtue of his or her position.										
Article 18 Trading in influence										
Each State Party shall consider adopting such										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?  Yes Ves in No. Info not Cite and summerize the law Recent for the adoption Implementation of Challenges of Technical Recommendations										
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps	
legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally:  (a) The promise, offering or giving to a public official or any other person, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage in order that the public official or the person abuse his or her real or supposed influence with a view to obtaining from an administration or public authority of the State Party an undue advantage for the original instigator of the act or for any other person;											
(b) The solicitation or acceptance by a public official or any other person, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage for himself or herself or for another person in order that the public official or the person abuse his or her real or supposed influence with a view to obtaining from an administration or public authority of the State Party an undue advantage.											
Article 19 Abuse of functions  Each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally, the abuse of functions or position, that is, the performance of or failure to perform an act, in violation of laws, by a public official in the discharge of his or her functions, for the purpose of obtaining an undue advantage for himself or herself or for another											

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
person or entity.										
Article 20 Illicit enrichment  Subject to its constitution and the fundamental										
principles of its legal system, each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally, illicit enrichment, that is, a significant increase in the assets of a public official that he or she cannot reasonably explain in relation to his or her lawful income.										
Article 21 Bribery in the private sector										
Each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally in the course of economic, financial or commercial activities:  (a) The promise, offering or giving, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage to any										
person who directs or works, in any capacity, for a private sector entity, for the person himself or herself or for another person, in order that he or she, in breach of his or her duties, act or refrain from acting;										
(b) The solicitation or acceptance, directly or indirectly, of an undue advantage by any person who directs or works, in any capacity, for a private sector entity, for the person										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
himself or herself or for another person, in order that he or she, in breach of his or her duties, act or refrain from acting.										
Article 22 Embezzlement of property in the private sector										
Each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally in the course of economic, financial or commercial activities, embezzlement by a person who directs or works, in any capacity, in a private sector entity of any property, private funds or securities or any other thing of value entrusted to him or her by virtue of his or her position.										
Article 23 Laundering of proceeds of crime  1. Each State Party shall adopt, in accordance with fundamental principles of its domestic law, such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally:  (a) (i) The conversion or transfer of property, knowing that such property is the proceeds of crime, for the purpose of concealing or disguising the illicit origin of the property or of helping any person who is involved in the commission of the predicate offence to evade the legal consequences of his or her action;										
(ii) The concealment or disguise of the true nature, source, location, disposition, movement or ownership of or rights with										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### Chapter III (Articles 15 – 42)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
respect to property, knowing that such property is the proceeds of crime;										
(b) Subject to the basic concepts of its legal										
system: (i) The acquisition, possession or										
use of property, knowing, at the time of										
receipt, that such property is the proceeds of										
crime;										
(ii) Participation in, association with or										
conspiracy to commit, attempts to commit										
and aiding, abetting, facilitating and counselling the commission of any of the										
offences established in accordance with this										
article.										
2. For purposes of implementing or applying										
paragraph 1 of this article:										
(a) Each State Party shall seek to apply										
paragraph 1 of this article to the widest range										
of predicate offences;										
(b) Each State Party shall include as predicate										
offences at a minimum a comprehensive										
range of criminal offences established in accordance with this Convention;										
accordance with this Convention,										
(c) For the purposes of subparagraph (b) above,										
predicate offences shall include offences										
committed both within and outside the										
jurisdiction of the State Party in question.										
However, offences committed outside the										
jurisdiction of a State Party shall constitute										
predicate offences only when the relevant conduct is a criminal offence under the										
domestic law of the State where it is										
committed and would be a criminal offence										
under the domestic law of the State Party										
implementing or applying this article had it										
been committed there;										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
(d) Each State Party shall furnish copies of its laws that give effect to this article and of any subsequent changes to such laws or a description thereof to the Secretary-General of the United Nations;										
(e) If required by fundamental principles of the domestic law of a State Party, it may be provided that the offences set forth in paragraph 1 of this article do not apply to the persons who committed the predicate offence.										
Article 24 Concealment										
Without prejudice to the provisions of article 23 of this Convention, each State Party shall consider adopting such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, when committed intentionally after the commission of any of the offences established in accordance with this Convention without having participated in such offences, the concealment or continued retention of property when the person involved knows that such property is the result of any of the offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
Article 25 Obstruction of justice										
Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as criminal offences, when committed intentionally:  (a) The use of physical force, threats or intimidation or the promise, offering or giving of										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
an undue advantage to induce false testimony or to interfere in the giving of testimony or the production of evidence in a proceeding in relation to the commission of offences established in accordance with this Convention;										
(b) The use of physical force, threats or intimidation to interfere with the exercise of official duties by a justice or law enforcement official in relation to the commission of offences established in accordance with this Convention. Nothing in this subparagraph shall prejudice the right of States Parties to have legislation that protects other categories of public official.										
Article 26 Liability of legal persons										
1. Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary, consistent with its legal principles, to establish the liability of legal persons for participation in the offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
<ol><li>Subject to the legal principles of the State Party, the liability of legal persons may be criminal, civil or administrative.</li></ol>										
3. Such liability shall be without prejudice to the criminal liability of the natural persons who have committed the offences.										
4. Each State Party shall, in particular, ensure that legal persons held liable in accordance with this article are subject to effective,										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
proportionate and dissuasive criminal or non- criminal sanctions, including monetary sanctions.										
Article 27 Participation and attempt										
1. Each State Party shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, in accordance with its domestic law, participation in any capacity such as an accomplice, assistant or instigator in an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
2. Each State Party may adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, in accordance with its domestic law, any attempt to commit an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
3. Each State Party may adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to establish as a criminal offence, in accordance with its domestic law, the preparation for an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
Article 28 Knowledge, intent and purpose as elements of an offence										
Knowledge, intent or purpose required as an element of an offence established in										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?  You You in the late set. Site and summerize the law. Become for the edection. Implementation of Shellenges of Technical Become addition.										
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps	
accordance with this Convention may be inferred from objective factual circumstances.											
Article 29 Statute of limitations											
Each State Party shall, where appropriate, establish under its domestic law a long statute of limitations period in which to commence proceedings for any offence established in accordance with this Convention and establish a longer statute of limitations period or provide for the suspension of the statute of limitations where the alleged offender has evaded the administration of justice.											
Article 30 Prosecution, adjudication and sanctions											
1. Each State Party shall make the commission of an offence established in accordance with this Convention liable to sanctions that take into account the gravity of that offence.											
2. Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to establish or maintain, in accordance with its legal system and constitutional principles, an appropriate balance between any immunities or jurisdictional privileges accorded to its public officials for the performance of their functions and the possibility, when necessary, of effectively investigating, prosecuting and adjudicating offences established in accordance with this Convention.											

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
3. Each State Party shall endeavour to ensure that any discretionary legal powers under its domestic law relating to the prosecution of persons for offences established in accordance with this Convention are exercised to maximize the effectiveness of law enforcement measures in respect of those offences and with due regard to the need to deter the commission of such offences.										
4. In the case of offences established in accordance with this Convention, each State Party shall take appropriate measures, in accordance with its domestic law and with due regard to the rights of the defence, to seek to ensure that conditions imposed in connection with decisions on release pending trial or appeal take into consideration the need to ensure the presence of the defendant at subsequent criminal proceedings.										
<ol><li>Each State Party shall take into account the gravity of the offences concerned when considering the eventuality of early release or parole of persons convicted of such offences.</li></ol>										
<ol> <li>Each State Party, to the extent consistent with the fundamental principles of its legal system, shall consider establishing procedures through which a public official accused of an offence established in accordance with this Convention may, where appropriate, be removed, suspended or reassigned by the appropriate authority, bearing in mind respect for the principle of the presumption of innocence.</li> <li>Where warranted by the gravity of the</li> </ol>										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### Chapter III (Articles 15 – 42)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
offence, each State Party, to the extent consistent with the fundamental principles of its legal system, shall consider establishing procedures for the disqualification, by court order or any other appropriate means, for a period of time determined by its domestic law, of persons convicted of offences										
established in accordance with this Convention from:										
(a) Holding public office; and										
(b) Holding office in an enterprise owned in whole or in part by the State.										
8. Paragraph 1 of this article shall be without prejudice to the exercise of disciplinary powers by the competent authorities against civil servants.										
9. Nothing contained in this Convention shall affect the principle that the description of the offences established in accordance with this Convention and of the applicable legal defences or other legal principles controlling the lawfulness of conduct is reserved to the domestic law of a State Party and that such offences shall be prosecuted and punished in accordance with that law.										
10. States Parties shall endeavour to promote the reintegration into society of persons convicted of offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
Article 31 Freezing, seizure and confiscation										
Each State Party shall take, to the greatest extent possible within its domestic legal system, such measures as may be necessary to enable confiscation of:										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### Chapter III (Articles 15 – 42)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
(a) Proceeds of crime derived from offences established in accordance with this Convention or property the value of which corresponds to that of such proceeds;										
(b) Property, equipment or other instrumentalities used in or destined for use in offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
2. Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to enable the identification, tracing, freezing or seizure of any item referred to in paragraph 1 of this article for the purpose of eventual confiscation.										
3. Each State Party shall adopt, in accordance with its domestic law, such legislative and other measures as may be necessary to regulate the administration by the competent authorities of frozen, seized or confiscated property covered in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article.										
4. If such proceeds of crime have been transformed or converted, in part or in full, into other property, such property shall be liable to the measures referred to in this article instead of the proceeds.										
<ul> <li>5. If such proceeds of crime have been intermingled with property acquired from legitimate sources, such property shall, without prejudice to any powers relating to freezing or seizure, be liable to confiscation up to the assessed value of the intermingled proceeds.</li> <li>6. Income or other benefits derived from such</li> </ul>										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### Chapter III (Articles 15 – 42)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
proceeds of crime, from property into which such proceeds of crime have been transformed or converted or from property with which such proceeds of crime have been intermingled shall also be liable to the measures referred to in this article, in the same manner and to the same extent as proceeds of crime.										
7. For the purpose of this article and article 55 of this Convention, each State Party shall empower its courts or other competent authorities to order that bank, financial or commercial records be made available or seized. A State Party shall not decline to act under the provisions of this paragraph on the ground of bank secrecy.										
8. States Parties may consider the possibility of requiring that an offender demonstrate the lawful origin of such alleged proceeds of crime or other property liable to confiscation, to the extent that such a requirement is consistent with the fundamental principles of their domestic law and with the nature of judicial and other proceedings.										
<ol><li>The provisions of this article shall not be so construed as to prejudice the rights of bona fide third parties.</li></ol>										
10. Nothing contained in this article shall affect the principle that the measures to which it refers shall be defined and implemented in accordance with and subject to the provisions of the domestic law of a State Party.										
Article 32	_									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Protection of witnesses, experts and victims					, , ,					
Each State Party shall take appropriate measures in accordance with its domestic legal system and within its means to provide effective protection from potential retaliation or intimidation for witnesses and experts who give testimony concerning offences established in accordance with this Convention and, as appropriate, for their relatives and other persons close to them.										
<ul> <li>2. The measures envisaged in paragraph 1 of this article may include, inter alia, without prejudice to the rights of the defendant, including the right to due process:</li> <li>(a) Establishing procedures for the physical protection of such persons, such as, to the extent necessary and feasible, relocating them and permitting, where appropriate, non-disclosure or limitations on the disclosure of information concerning the identity and whereabouts of such persons;</li> </ul>										
(b) Providing evidentiary rules to permit witnesses and experts to give testimony in a manner that ensures the safety of such persons, such as permitting testimony to be given through the use of communications technology such as video or other adequate means.										
3. States Parties shall consider entering into agreements or arrangements with other States for the relocation of persons referred to in paragraph 1 of this article.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
4. The provisions of this article shall also apply to victims insofar as they are witnesses.										
5. Each State Party shall, subject to its domestic law, enable the views and concerns of victims to be presented and considered at appropriate stages of criminal proceedings against offenders in a manner not prejudicial to the rights of the defence.										
Article 33 Protection of reporting persons										
Each State Party shall consider incorporating into its domestic legal system appropriate measures to provide protection against any unjustified treatment for any person who reports in good faith and on reasonable grounds to the competent authorities any facts concerning offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
Article 34 Consequences of acts of corruption  With due regard to the rights of third parties acquired in good faith, each State Party shall take measures, in accordance with the fundamental principles of its domestic law, to address consequences of corruption. In this context, States Parties may consider corruption a relevant factor in legal proceedings to annul or										
rescind a contract, withdraw a concession or other similar instrument or take any other remedial action.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Article 35 Compensation for damage										
Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary, in accordance with principles of its domestic law, to ensure that entities or persons who have suffered damage as a result of an act of corruption have the right to initiate legal proceedings against those responsible for that damage in order to obtain compensation.										
Article 36 Specialized authorities										
Each State Party shall, in accordance with the fundamental principles of its legal system, ensure the existence of a body or bodies or persons specialized in combating corruption through law enforcement. Such body or bodies or persons shall be granted the necessary independence, in accordance with the fundamental principles of the legal system of the State Party, to be able to carry out their functions effectively and without any undue influence. Such persons or staff of such body or bodies should have the appropriate training and resources to carry out their tasks.  Article 37										
Cooperation with law enforcement authorities  1. Each State Party shall take appropriate										
measures to encourage persons who participate or who have participated in the commission of an offence established in accordance with this Convention to supply										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
information useful to competent authorities for investigative and evidentiary purposes and to provide factual, specific help to competent authorities that may contribute to depriving offenders of the proceeds of crime and to recovering such proceeds.										
2. Each State Party shall consider providing for the possibility, in appropriate cases, of mitigating punishment of an accused person who provides substantial cooperation in the investigation or prosecution of an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
3. Each State Party shall consider providing for the possibility, in accordance with fundamental principles of its domestic law, of granting immunity from prosecution to a person who provides substantial cooperation in the investigation or prosecution of an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
Protection of such persons shall be, mutatis mutandis, as provided for in article 32 of this Convention.										
<ol> <li>Where a person referred to in paragraph 1 of this article located in one State Party can provide substantial cooperation to the competent authorities of another State Party,</li> </ol>										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
the States Parties concerned may consider entering into agreements or arrangements, in accordance with their domestic law, concerning the potential provision by the other State Party of the treatment set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of this article.										
Article 38 Cooperation between national authorities										
Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to encourage, in accordance with its domestic law, cooperation between, on the one hand, its public authorities, as well as its public officials, and, on the other hand, its authorities responsible for investigating and prosecuting criminal offences. Such cooperation may include:										
(a) Informing the latter authorities, on their own initiative, where there are reasonable grounds to believe that any of the offences established in accordance with articles 15, 21 and 23 of this Convention has been committed; or										
(b) Providing, upon request, to the latter authorities all necessary information.										
Article 39 Cooperation between national authorities and the private sector  1. Each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to encourage, in										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
accordance with its domestic law, cooperation between national investigating and prosecuting authorities and entities of the private sector, in particular financial institutions, relating to matters involving the commission of offences established in accordance with this Convention.										
2. Each State Party shall consider encouraging its nationals and other persons with a habitual residence in its territory to report to the national investigating and prosecuting authorities the commission of an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										
Article 40 Bank secrecy										
Each State Party shall ensure that, in the case of domestic criminal investigations of offences established in accordance with this Convention, there are appropriate mechanisms available within its domestic legal system to overcome obstacles that may arise out of the application of bank secrecy laws.										
Article 41 Criminal record										
Each State Party may adopt such legislative or other measures as may be necessary to take into consideration, under such terms as and for the purpose that it deems appropriate, any previous conviction in another State of an alleged offender for the purpose of using such information in criminal proceedings relating to an offence established in accordance with this Convention.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### Chapter III (Articles 15 – 42)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Article 42 Jurisdiction										
<ol> <li>Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences established in accordance with this Convention when:</li> <li>(a) The offence is committed in the territory of that State Party; or</li> </ol>										
(b) The offence is committed on board a vessel that is flying the flag of that State Party or an aircraft that is registered under the laws of that State Party at the time that the offence is committed.										
<ul><li>2. Subject to article 4 of this Convention, a State Party may also establish its jurisdiction over any such offence when:</li><li>(a) The offence is committed against a national of that State Party; or</li></ul>										
(b) The offence is committed by a national of that State Party or a stateless person who has his or her habitual residence in its territory; or										
(c) The offence is one of those established in accordance with article 23, paragraph 1 (b) (ii), of this Convention and is committed outside its territory with a view to the commission of an offence established in accordance with article 23, paragraph 1 (a) (i) or (ii) or (b) (i), of this Convention within its territory; or (d) The offence is committed										
against the State Party.  3. For the purposes of article 44 of this										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Convention, each State Party shall take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences established in accordance with this Convention when the alleged offender is present in its territory and it does not extradite such person solely on the ground that he or she is one of its nationals.										
4. Each State Party may also take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction over the offences established in accordance with this Convention when the alleged offender is present in its territory and it does not extradite him or her.										
5. If a State Party exercising its jurisdiction under paragraph 1 or 2 of this article has been notified, or has otherwise learned, that any other States Parties are conducting an investigation, prosecution or judicial proceeding in respect of the same conduct, the competent authorities of those States Parties shall, as appropriate, consult one another with a view to coordinating their actions.										
6. Without prejudice to norms of general international law, this Convention shall not exclude the exercise of any criminal jurisdiction established by a State Party in accordance with its domestic law.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
Article 43 International cooperation										
1. States Parties shall cooperate in criminal matters in accordance with articles 44 to 50 of this Convention. Where appropriate and consistent with their domestic legal system, States Parties shall consider assisting each other in investigations of and proceedings in civil and administrative matters relating to corruption.										
2. In matters of international cooperation, whenever dual criminality is considered a requirement, it shall be deemed fulfilled irrespective of whether the laws of the requested State Party place the offence within the same category of offence or denominate the offence by the same terminology as the requesting State Party, if the conduct underlying the offence for which assistance is sought is a criminal offence under the laws of both States Parties.										
Article 44 Extradition  1. This article shall apply to the offences established in accordance with this Convention where the person who is the subject of the request for extradition is present in the territory of the requested State Party, provided that the offence for which extradition is sought is punishable under the domestic law of both the requesting State Party and the requested State Party.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
2. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 1 of this article, a State Party whose law so permits may grant the extradition of a person for any of the offences covered by this Convention that are not punishable under its own domestic law.										
3. If the request for extradition includes several separate offences, at least one of which is extraditable under this article and some of which are not extraditable by reason of their period of imprisonment but are related to offences established in accordance with this Convention, the requested State Party may apply this article also in respect of those offences										
4. Each of the offences to which this article applies shall be deemed to be included as an extraditable offence in any extradition treaty existing between States Parties. States Parties undertake to include such offences as extraditable offences in every extradition treaty to be concluded between them. A State Party whose law so permits, in case it uses this Convention as the basis for extradition, shall not consider any of the offences established in accordance with this Convention to be a political offence.										
5. If a State Party that makes extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty receives a request for extradition from another State Party with which it has no extradition treaty, it may consider this Convention the legal basis for extradition in										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

		Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?								
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
respect of any offence to which this article applies.										
<ul> <li>6. A State Party that makes extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty shall:</li> <li>(a) At the time of deposit of its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of or accession to this Convention, inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations whether it will take this Convention as the legal basis for cooperation on extradition with other States Parties to this Convention; and</li> </ul>										
(b) If it does not take this Convention as the legal basis for cooperation on extradition, seek, where appropriate, to conclude treaties on extradition with other States Parties to this Convention in order to implement this article.										
7. States Parties that do not make extradition conditional on the existence of a treaty shall recognize offences to which this article applies as extraditable offences between themselves.										
8. Extradition shall be subject to the conditions provided for by the domestic law of the requested State Party or by applicable extradition treaties, including, inter alia, conditions in relation to the minimum penalty requirement for extradition and the grounds upon which the requested State Party may refuse extradition.										
9. States Parties shall, subject to their domestic law, endeavour to expedite extradition procedures and to simplify evidentiary requirements relating thereto in respect of										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

International cooperation

Has your country add

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neacures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
any offence to which this article applies.					(5.1.5.)				эрриссиот	
10. Subject to the provisions of its domestic law and its extradition treaties, the requested State Party may, upon being satisfied that the circumstances so warrant and are urgent and at the request of the requesting State Party, take a person whose extradition is sought and who is present in its territory into custody or take other appropriate measures to ensure his or her presence at extradition										
proceedings.  11. A State Party in whose territory an alleged offender is found, if it does not extradite such person in respect of an offence to which this article applies solely on the ground that he or she is one of its nationals, shall, at the request of the State Party seeking extradition, be obliged to submit the case without undue delay to its competent authorities for the purpose of prosecution. Those authorities shall take their decision and conduct their proceedings in the same manner as in the case of any other offence of a grave nature under the domestic law of that State Party. The States Parties concerned shall cooperate with each other, in particular										
on procedural and evidentiary aspects, to ensure the efficiency of such prosecution.										
12. Whenever a State Party is permitted under its domestic law to extradite or otherwise surrender one of its nationals only upon the condition that the person will be returned to that State Party to serve the sentence imposed as a result of the trial or proceedings for which the extradition or surrender of the person was sought and that										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
State Party and the State Party seeking the extradition of the person agree with this option and other terms that they may deem appropriate, such conditional extradition or surrender shall be sufficient to discharge the obligation set forth in paragraph 11 of this article.										
13. If extradition, sought for purposes of enforcing a sentence, is refused because the person sought is a national of the requested State Party, the requested State Party shall, if its domestic law so permits and in conformity with the requirements of such law, upon application of the requesting State Party, consider the enforcement of the sentence imposed under the domestic law of the requesting State Party or the remainder thereof.										
14. Any person regarding whom proceedings are being carried out in connection with any of the offences to which this article applies shall be guaranteed fair treatment at all stages of the proceedings, including enjoyment of all the rights and guarantees provided by the domestic law of the State Party in the territory of which that person is present.										
15. Nothing in this Convention shall be interpreted as imposing an obligation to extradite if the requested State Party has substantial grounds for believing that the request has been made for the purpose of prosecuting or punishing a person on account of that person's sex, race, religion, nationality, ethnic origin or political opinions										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

							monted these m	200011202		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if	Recommendations and Next Steps
or that compliance with the request would cause prejudice to that person's position for any one of these reasons.  16. States Parties may not refuse a request for extradition on the sole ground that the offence is also considered to involve fiscal matters.					(other) steps taken so far				applicable	
<ul> <li>17. Before refusing extradition, the requested State Party shall, where appropriate, consult with the requesting State Party to provide it with ample opportunity to present its opinions and to provide information relevant to its allegation.</li> <li>18. States Parties shall seek to conclude</li> </ul>										
bilateral and multilateral agreements or arrangements to carry out or to enhance the effectiveness of extradition.										
Article 45 Transfer of sentenced persons										
States Parties may consider entering into bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements on the transfer to their territory of persons sentenced to imprisonment or other forms of deprivation of liberty for offences established in accordance with this Convention in order that they may complete their sentences there.										
Article 46 Mutual legal assistance										
States Parties shall afford one another the widest measure of mutual legal assistance in investigations, prosecutions and judicial										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
proceedings in relation to the offences covered by this Convention.										
2. Mutual legal assistance shall be afforded to the fullest extent possible under relevant laws, treaties, agreements and arrangements of the requested State Party with respect to investigations, prosecutions and judicial proceedings in relation to the offences for which a legal person may be held liable in accordance with article 26 of this Convention in the requesting State Party.										
Mutual legal assistance to be afforded in accordance with this article may be requested for any of the following purposes:     (a) Taking evidence or statements from persons;										
(b) Effecting service of judicial documents;										
(c) Executing searches and seizures, and freezing;										
(d) Examining objects and sites;										
(e) Providing information, evidentiary items and expert evaluations;										
<ul> <li>(f) Providing originals or certified copies of relevant documents and records, including government, bank, financial, corporate or business records;</li> </ul>										
(g) Identifying or tracing proceeds of crime, property, instrumentalities or other things for										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
evidentiary purposes;										
(h) Facilitating the voluntary appearance of persons in the requesting State Party;										
(i) Any other type of assistance that is not contrary to the domestic law of the requested State Party;										
(j) Identifying, freezing and tracing proceeds of crime in accordance with the provisions of chapter V of this Convention;										
(k) The recovery of assets, in accordance with the provisions of chapter V of this Convention.										
4. Without prejudice to domestic law, the competent authorities of a State Party may, without prior request, transmit information relating to criminal matters to a competent authority in another State Party where they believe that such information could assist the authority in undertaking or successfully concluding inquiries and criminal proceedings or could result in a request formulated by the latter State Party pursuant to this Convention.										
5. The transmission of information pursuant to paragraph 4 of this article shall be without prejudice to inquiries and criminal proceedings in the State of the competent authorities providing the information. The										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

					international coopera						
		Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?  S Yes, in No Info not Cite and summarize the law, Bases for the adoption Implementation of Challenges of Technical Recommendation									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps	
competent authorities receiving the information shall comply with a request that said information remain confidential, even temporarily, or with restrictions on its use. However, this shall not prevent the receiving State Party from disclosing in its proceedings information that is exculpatory to an accused person. In such a case, the receiving State Party shall notify the transmitting State Party prior to the disclosure and, if so requested, consult with the transmitting State Party. If, in an exceptional case, advance notice is not possible, the receiving State Party shall inform the transmitting State Party of the disclosure without delay.											
6. The provisions of this article shall not affect the obligations under any other treaty, bilateral or multilateral, that governs or will govern, in whole or in part, mutual legal assistance.											
7. Paragraphs 9 to 29 of this article shall apply to requests made pursuant to this article if the States Parties in question are not bound by a treaty of mutual legal assistance. If those States Parties are bound by such a treaty, the corresponding provisions of that treaty shall apply unless the States Parties agree to apply paragraphs 9 to 29 of this article in lieu thereof. States Parties are strongly encouraged to apply those paragraphs if they facilitate cooperation.											
8. States Parties shall not decline to render mutual legal assistance pursuant to this article on the ground of bank secrecy.											

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
9. (a) A requested State Party, in responding to a request for assistance pursuant to this article in the absence of dual criminality, shall take into account the purposes of this Convention, as set forth in article 1;										
<ul> <li>(b) States Parties may decline to render assistance pursuant to this article on the ground of absence of dual criminality. However, a requested State Party shall, where consistent with the basic concepts of its legal system, render assistance that does not involve coercive action. Such assistance may be refused when requests involve matters of a <i>de minimis</i> nature or matters for which the cooperation or assistance sought is available under other provisions of this Convention;</li> <li>(c) Each State Party may consider adopting such measures as may be necessary to enable it to provide a wider scope of assistance pursuant to this article in the</li> </ul>										
absence of dual criminality.										
a sentence in the territory of one State Party whose presence in another State Party is requested for purposes of identification, testimony or otherwise providing assistance in obtaining evidence for investigations, prosecutions or judicial proceedings in relation to offences covered by this Convention may be transferred if the following conditions are met:  (a) The person freely gives his or her informed										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	monted these m	nogeuroe?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
consent;										
(b) The competent authorities of both States Parties agree, subject to such conditions as those States Parties may deem appropriate.										
<ul><li>11. For the purposes of paragraph 10 of this article:</li><li>(a) The State Party to which the person is transferred shall have the authority and obligation to keep the person transferred in custody, unless otherwise requested or authorized by the State Party from which the person was transferred;</li></ul>										
(b) The State Party to which the person is transferred shall without delay implement its obligation to return the person to the custody of the State Party from which the person was transferred as agreed beforehand, or as otherwise agreed, by the competent authorities of both States Parties;										
(c) The State Party to which the person is transferred shall not require the State Party from which the person was transferred to initiate extradition proceedings for the return of the person;										
(d) The person transferred shall receive credit for service of the sentence being served in the State from which he or she was transferred for time spent in the custody of the State Party to which he or she was transferred.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

					international coopera	tion				
					Has your country	adopted and imple	emented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
12. Unless the State Party from which a person										
is to be transferred in accordance with										
paragraphs 10 and 11 of this article so										
agrees, that person, whatever his or her										
nationality, shall not be prosecuted, detained,										
punished or subjected to any other restriction										
of his or her personal liberty in the territory of										
the State to which that person is transferred										
in respect of acts, omissions or convictions										
prior to his or her departure from the territory										
of the State from which he or she was										
transferred.										
13. Each State Party shall designate a central										
authority that shall have the responsibility										
and power to receive requests for mutual										
legal assistance and either to execute them										
or to transmit them to the competent										
authorities for execution. Where a State										
Party has a special region or territory with a										
separate system of mutual legal assistance,										
it may designate a distinct central authority										
that shall have the same function for that										
region or territory. Central authorities shall										
ensure the speedy and proper execution or										
transmission of the requests received. Where										
the central authority transmits the request to										
a competent authority for execution, it shall										
encourage the speedy and proper execution										
of the request by the competent authority.										
The Secretary-General of the United Nations										
shall be notified of the central authority										
designated for this purpose at the time each										
State Party deposits its instrument of										
ratification, acceptance or approval of or										
accession to this Convention. Requests for										
mutual legal assistance and any										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
communication related thereto shall be transmitted to the central authorities designated by the States Parties. This requirement shall be without prejudice to the right of a State Party to require that such requests and communications be addressed to it through diplomatic channels and, in urgent circumstances, where the States Parties agree, through the International Criminal Police Organization, if possible.										
14. Requests shall be made in writing or, where possible, by any means capable of producing a written record, in a language acceptable to the requested State Party, under conditions allowing that State Party to establish authenticity. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall be notified of the language or languages acceptable to each State Party at the time it deposits its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of or accession to this Convention. In urgent circumstances and where agreed by the States Parties, requests may be made orally but shall be confirmed in writing forthwith.										
<ul><li>15. A request for mutual legal assistance shall contain:</li><li>(a) The identity of the authority making the request;</li></ul>										
(b) The subject matter and nature of the investigation, prosecution or judicial proceeding to which the request relates and the name and functions of the authority										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

		Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?									
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps	
conducting the investigation, prosecution or judicial proceeding;											
(c) A summary of the relevant facts, except in relation to requests for the purpose of service of judicial documents;											
(d) A description of the assistance sought and details of any particular procedure that the requesting State Party wishes to be followed;											
(e) Where possible, the identity, location and nationality of any person concerned; and											
(f) The purpose for which the evidence, information or action is sought.											
16. The requested State Party may request additional information when it appears necessary for the execution of the request in accordance with its domestic law or when it can facilitate such execution.											
17. A request shall be executed in accordance with the domestic law of the requested State Party and, to the extent not contrary to the domestic law of the requested State Party and where possible, in accordance with the procedures specified in the request.											
18. Wherever possible and consistent with fundamental principles of domestic law, when an individual is in the territory of a State Party and has to be heard as a witness or expert by the judicial authorities of another State Party, the first State Party may, at the request of the other, permit the hearing to											

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	V	l Vac in	N.	lefet	,				Tablestad	December delice		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
take place by video conference if it is not possible or desirable for the individual in question to appear in person in the territory of the requesting State Party. States Parties may agree that the hearing shall be conducted by a judicial authority of the requesting State Party and attended by a judicial authority of the requested State Party.												
19. The requesting State Party shall not transmit or use information or evidence furnished by the requested State Party for investigations, prosecutions or judicial proceedings other than those stated in the request without the prior consent of the requested State Party. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent the requesting State Party from disclosing in its proceedings information or evidence that is exculpatory to an accused person. In the latter case, the requesting State Party shall notify the requested State Party prior to the disclosure and, if so requested, consult with the requested State Party. If, in an exceptional case, advance notice is not possible, the requested State Party of the disclosure without delay.												
20. The requesting State Party may require that the requested State Party keep confidential the fact and substance of the request, except to the extent necessary to execute the request. If the requested State Party cannot comply with the requirement of confidentiality, it shall promptly inform the												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
requesting State Party.												
<ul><li>21. Mutual legal assistance may be refused:</li><li>(a) If the request is not made in conformity with the provisions of this article;</li></ul>												
(b) If the requested State Party considers that execution of the request is likely to prejudice its sovereignty, security, ordre public or other essential interests;												
(c) If the authorities of the requested State Party would be prohibited by its domestic law from carrying out the action requested with regard to any similar offence, had it been subject to investigation, prosecution or judicial proceedings under their own jurisdiction;												
(d) If it would be contrary to the legal system of the requested State Party relating to mutual legal assistance for the request to be granted												
22. States Parties may not refuse a request for mutual legal assistance on the sole ground that the offence is also considered to involve fiscal matters.												
23. Reasons shall be given for any refusal of mutual legal assistance.												
24. The requested State Party shall execute the request for mutual legal assistance as soon as possible and shall take as full account as possible of any deadlines suggested by the requesting State Party and for which reasons are given, preferably in the request. The requesting State Party may make reasonable requests for information on the status and												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

		Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps			
progress of measures taken by the requested State Party to satisfy its request. The requested State Party shall respond to reasonable requests by the requesting State Party on the status, and progress in its handling, of the request. The requesting State Party shall promptly inform the requested State Party when the assistance sought is no longer required.													
25. Mutual legal assistance may be postponed by the requested State Party on the ground that it interferes with an ongoing investigation, prosecution or judicial proceeding.													
26. Before refusing a request pursuant to paragraph 21 of this article or postponing its execution pursuant to paragraph 25 of this article, the requested State Party shall consult with the requesting State Party to consider whether assistance may be granted subject to such terms and conditions as it deems necessary. If the requesting State Party accepts assistance subject to those conditions, it shall comply with the conditions.													
27. Without prejudice to the application of paragraph 12 of this article, a witness, expert or other person who, at the request of the requesting State Party, consents to give evidence in a proceeding or to assist in an investigation, prosecution or judicial proceeding in the territory of the requesting State Party shall not be prosecuted, detained, punished or subjected to any other restriction of his or her personal liberty in that													

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
territory in respect of acts, omissions or convictions prior to his or her departure from the territory of the requested State Party. Such safe conduct shall cease when the witness, expert or other person having had, for a period of fifteen consecutive days or for any period agreed upon by the States Parties from the date on which he or she has been officially informed that his or her presence is no longer required by the judicial authorities, an opportunity of leaving, has nevertheless remained voluntarily in the territory of the requesting State Party or, having left it, has returned of his or her own free will.												
28. The ordinary costs of executing a request shall be borne by the requested State Party, unless otherwise agreed by the States Parties concerned. If expenses of a substantial or extraordinary nature are or will be required to fulfill the request, the States Parties shall consult to determine the terms and conditions under which the request will be executed, as well as the manner in which the costs shall be borne.												
<ul><li>29. The requested State Party:</li><li>(a) Shall provide to the requesting State Party copies of government records, documents or information in its possession that under its domestic law are available to the general public;</li></ul>												
(b) May, at its discretion, provide to the requesting State Party in whole, in part or subject to such conditions as it deems												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
appropriate, copies of any government records, documents or information in its possession that under its domestic law are not available to the general public.												
30. States Parties shall consider, as may be necessary, the possibility of concluding bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements that would serve the purposes of, give practical effect to or enhance the provisions of this article.												
Article 47 Transfer of criminal proceedings  States Parties shall consider the possibility of transferring to one another proceedings for the prosecution of an offence established in accordance with this Convention in cases where such transfer is considered to be in the interests of the proper administration of justice, in particular in cases where several jurisdictions are involved, with a view to concentrating the prosecution.												
Article 48 Law enforcement cooperation  1. States Parties shall cooperate closely with one another, consistent with their respective domestic legal and administrative systems, to enhance the effectiveness of law enforcement action to combat the offences covered by this Convention. States Parties shall, in particular, take effective measures:												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?												
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps			
(a) To enhance and, where necessary, to establish channels of communication between their competent authorities, agencies and services in order to facilitate the secure and rapid exchange of information concerning all aspects of the offences covered by this Convention, including, if the States Parties concerned deem it appropriate, links with other criminal activities;													
(b) To cooperate with other States Parties in conducting inquiries with respect to offences covered by this Convention concerning:													
<ul> <li>(i) The identity, whereabouts and activities of persons suspected of involvement in such offences or the location of other persons concerned;</li> </ul>													
(ii) The movement of proceeds of crime or property derived from the commission of such offences;													
(iii) The movement of property, equipment or other instrumentalities used or intended for use in the commission of such offences;													
(c) To provide, where appropriate, necessary items or quantities of substances for analytical or investigative purposes;													
(d) To exchange, where appropriate, information with other States Parties concerning specific means and methods used to commit													

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

		Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps			
offences covered by this Convention, including the use of false identities, forged, altered or false documents and other means of concealing activities;													
(e) To facilitate effective coordination between their competent authorities, agencies and services and to promote the exchange of personnel and other experts, including, subject to bilateral agreements or arrangements between the States Parties concerned, the posting of liaison officers;													
(f) To exchange information and coordinate administrative and other measures taken as appropriate for the purpose of early identification of the offences covered by this Convention.													
2. With a view to giving effect to this Convention, States Parties shall consider entering into bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements on direct cooperation between their law enforcement agencies and, where such agreements or arrangements already exist, amending them. In the absence of such agreements or arrangements between the States Parties concerned, the States Parties may consider this Convention to be the basis for mutual law enforcement cooperation in respect of the offences covered by this Convention. Whenever appropriate, States Parties shall make full use of agreements or arrangements, including international or regional organizations, to enhance the													

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

	Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?											
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
cooperation between their law enforcement agencies.												
3. States Parties shall endeavour to cooperate within their means to respond to offences covered by this Convention committed through the use of modern technology.												
Article 49 Joint investigations												
States Parties shall consider concluding bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements whereby, in relation to matters that are the subject of investigations, prosecutions or judicial proceedings in one or more States, the competent authorities concerned may establish joint investigative bodies. In the absence of such agreements or arrangements, joint investigations may be undertaken by agreement on a case-by-case basis. The States Parties involved shall ensure that the sovereignty of the State Party in whose territory such investigation is to take place is fully respected.												
Article 50 Special investigative techniques  1. In order to combat corruption effectively, each State Party shall, to the extent permitted by the basic principles of its domestic legal system and in accordance with the conditions prescribed by its domestic law, take such measures as may be necessary, within its means, to allow for the appropriate use by its												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

Has your country adopted and implemented these measures?												
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps		
competent authorities of controlled delivery and, where it deems appropriate, other special investigative techniques, such as electronic or other forms of surveillance and undercover operations, within its territory, and to allow for the admissibility in court of evidence derived therefrom.												
2. For the purpose of investigating the offences covered by this Convention, States Parties are encouraged to conclude, when necessary, appropriate bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements for using such special investigative techniques in the context of cooperation at the international level. Such agreements or arrangements shall be concluded and implemented in full compliance with the principle of sovereign equality of States and shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the terms of those agreements or arrangements.												
<ul> <li>3. In the absence of an agreement or arrangement as set forth in paragraph 2 of this article, decisions to use such special investigative techniques at the international level shall be made on a case-by-case basis and may, when necessary, take into consideration financial arrangements and understandings with respect to the exercise of jurisdiction by the States Parties concerned.</li> <li>4. Decisions to use controlled delivery at the</li> </ul>												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)

<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)

#### **UNCAC REVIEW WORKING TOOL** Chapter IV (Articles 43-50) International cooperation

					Has your country	adopted and imple	mented these m	neasures?		
	Yes	Yes, in part	No	Info not available	Cite and summarize the law, administrative policy, regulations or practice and state (other) steps taken so far	Bases for the adoption of measures <sup>1</sup>	Implementation of the Measure <sup>2</sup>	Challenges of implementation <sup>3</sup>	Technical assistance needed, if applicable	Recommendations and Next Steps
international level may, with the consent of the States Parties concerned, include methods such as intercepting and allowing the goods or funds to continue intact or be removed or replaced in whole or in part.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What led to the adoption of this measure? (A study?, a strategy?, an incident?, other?)
<sup>2</sup> Which institution(s) are responsible for implementation? Cite example(s) of successful implementation. Has the effectiveness of this measure been assessed? If yes, by who? When and what were the main findings? If not, cite if you need any assistance to do so.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This can include inter-agency coordination; specificity in legal system; competing priorities; limited capacity (e.g. human/technological/institution/other – please specify) limited resource for implementation (e.g. human/financial/other – please specify); other issues (please specify)