

# Philippine Elections and Political Parties and Democratic Accountability, 1986-2010

Temario C. Rivera

# Elections and Democracy

- Two features of democratic, representative political systems:
- First, a system of free, fair, and competitive elections and guarantees of basic civil and political rights.
- Second, a system by which rulers are held accountable for their public actions by citizens.

# Have Philippine elections been largely “free, fair, and competitive?”

- A history of electoral manipulation and election-related violence and coercion.
- Poor electoral governance: the COMELEC as a classic example of organizational incompetence and elite capture.
- Continuing dominance of electoral contests by powerful political families.

# Parties and Democracy

- Parties as the most important agents of political representation and political aggregation of interests in modern democracies.
- Institutionalized party systems facilitate effective governance by providing structure to democratic politics in the electoral arena and legislature.

# Can Elections Serve as Mechanisms of Accountability ?

- **The “mandate” view:** “elections serve to select good policies or policy bearing politicians.”
- **The “sanctioning” view:** “elections serve to hold governments responsible for the results of their past actions.”
- Elections as the **selection of “good types”** of political leaders independent of reelection incentives.

# Elections and Structural Constraints on Choice

- In societies where power relationships are acutely unequal, structural constraints on electoral choices are real.
- In such situations, elections may serve to legitimize the rule by powerful elites skilled in the use of material incentives, cooptation, coercion, and outright violence.

# Elections and Political Families

- Why focus on political families?
- Political Families as enduring structures of economic and political power.
- In contrast, political parties are largely unstable and weakly institutionalized in the country.

# Political Families and Elections, 1987-2010.

- A political family defined.
- Of 77 provinces in this study, 71 or 92 percent have political families.
- A total of 167 families.
- 81 families or 48 percent are old elites.
- 86 families or 52 percent are new elites.
- On the average, each province has 2.17 political families.

# Political Families: Breadth and Depth

- Provinces with higher income, health, and education indicators (HDI 2006) have more political families.
- The top 20 provinces on HDI outcomes have an average of 2.8 families.
- The lowest 20 provinces on HDI outcomes have an average of 1.8 families.
- Provinces with mid-level HDI show an average of 2.03 families.

# Political Families: Breadth and Depth

- Since the 1992 elections, the governorships in 5 provinces have been ruled by a single family (Rizal, La Union, Lanao del Norte, Leyte, and Agusan del Sur).
- Since the 1987 elections for representatives, 18 districts in the country have been ruled by a single family.
- Only 6 provinces have no political family: Nueva Vizcaya, Aklan, Ifugao, Catanduanes, Kalinga, and Eastern Samar).

# Turnover Rates for Governors and Representatives, 1987-2010

- For governors, the average turnover rate for the period 1992-2013 is a low 1.82.
- Thus, for a period of 21 years (1992-2013), incumbent governors would lose office for only 5.46 years.
- For representatives, the average turnover rate for the period 1987-2013 is also low at 1.88.
- Thus, for a period of 26 years (1987-2013) incumbent representatives would lose office for only 5.64 years.

# Turnover Rates: Regional and Provincial Patterns

- Lowest turnover rates for governors: Region 13 and Region 1.
- Lowest turnover rates for representatives: Region 7 and Region 3.
- Highest turnover rates for governors: Region 4-A and CAR.
- Highest turnover rates for representatives: ARMM and CAR.

# Turnover Rates by Provincial HDI Rank

- For top 20 HDI provinces, the average turnover rate for governors was lower at 1.70 compared with the lowest 20 HDI provinces at 1.90.
- For representatives, the top 20 HDI provinces had a higher turnover rate at 1.79 compared with the lowest 20 provinces at 1.61.
- Mid-level HDI provinces had lower turnover rates for both their governors and representatives compared with the richest and poorest provinces.

# Implications of Low Average Turnover Rates for all Provinces

- There is very weak evidence for elections serving as accountability mechanisms viewed from either the “mandate” or “sanctioning” perspective.
- From the “selection of good types” view, why would the “good types” be almost monopolized by the dominant families in their provinces?
- All data show the continuing dominance and resilience of the political families, both old and new.

# Political Parties: Why Unstable and Weakly Institutionalized?

- Two approaches to understanding the nature of political parties:
- The social bases and origins of parties: how social cleavages are represented and advanced by parties.
- The impact of political institutions and electoral rules.

# Impact of Political Institutions and Electoral Rules on Party Formation

- The Philippine presidential system: a powerful president and its impact on party formation.
- Term limits on the presidency, Congressional representatives and local officials.
- Election of president and vice-president.
- Election of Senate members.
- Synchronized elections.
- The Party List election system.